

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25365 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-266 Year-2023 Thana- SAMASTIPUR District- Samastipur

Shrawan Sah @ Shravan Sah @ Shravan Kumar Son of Radheshyam Sah
Resident of Village- Gudari Bazar, P.S. - Samastipur Town, District-
Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jitendra Narain Sinha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Damodar Prasad Tiwary

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 15-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Samastipur Town P.S. Case No. 266 of 2023 dated 04.10.2023 for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per prosecution case, total 1.080 litres of illicit foreign liquor was recovered from the possession of co-accused (Md. Hanis) in a black plastic bag.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has six other criminal antecedents and he is on bail in five cases as stated in para 3 of the bail petition.



The apprehended co-accused person disclosed the name of the petitioner due to previous enmity. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of ***Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089***. The Full Bench in the case of ***Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)*** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76(2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court



concerned, Samastipur in connection with Samastipur Town P.S.
Case No. 266 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under
Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure with further
condition:

(1). The petitioner is directed to remain
physically present before the learned
court below on each and every date,
failing which on two consecutive dates
without reasonable cause, the
prosecution will be at liberty to move for
cancellation of his bail bond.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

