

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No 24563 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-11 Year-2024 Thana- PANAPUR District- Saran

=====

Lapu Kumar Manjhi @ Laphu Manjhi @ Lapu Manjhi S/o Butan Manjhi R/o
vill - Rasauli, P.S. - Panapur, Distt.- Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr Shrinath Manjhi, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr Kumar Ranjit Ranjan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 03-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2 The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Section 30 (a) of
the Bihar Excise Act.

3 Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has one antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 40
liters of spirit from behind the house of Mithilesh Manjhi and 20
liters of spirit from the bush. It is next submitted that the
petitioner was not arrested from the spot and, as such nothing
was recovered from his conscious possession and the recovery
has been made from a place which is accessible to public at
large.



4 Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5 Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial Court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on his furnishing bonds of Rs 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Panapur PS Case No 11 of 2024 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr P C.

6 It is made clear that the learned trial Court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than one case, in that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

M.E.H./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

