

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25465 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-50 Year-2019 Thana- GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP.
District- Lakhisarai

-
1. KAILASH KEWAT SON OF LATE SHYAM KEWAT RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - GANGTA, P.S. - LAKHISARAI, DISTRICT - LAKHISARAI
 2. RAMU KEWAT @ RAMBILAS KEWAT @ RAMESHWAR KEWAT SON OF LATE DEO NANDAN KEWAT RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - GANGTA, P.S. - LAKHISARAI, DISTRICT - LAKHISARAI

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjeev Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajesh Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 15-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners have preferred this application for grant of anticipatory bail in connection with Excise P.S. Case No. 50 C2 of 2019 dated 18.03.2019 for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a), 32, 41 and 56(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per prosecution case, total 105 litres of illicit country made liquor was recovered near the Gangta Pond.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been



implicated in this case. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Learned counsel further submitted that the recovery is made from the open place. The petitioners are not the owner of the said pond. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioners, hence no case is made out against the petitioners. Learned counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of ***Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089***. The Full Bench in the case of ***Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)*** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76(2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioners, let the above named petitioners, in the event of their arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be



enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Lakhisarai in connection with Excise P.S. Case No. 50 C2 of 2019, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

