

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6286 of 2023

Chandra Mohan Mishra Son of Late Girindra Mohan Mishra, Resident of Village-Koiri Tola, Sherghari, P.S. Sherghati, District Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Principal Secretary, Water Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Under Secretary, Water Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Engineer-in-Chief-Cum-Special Secretary, Water Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. Chief Engineer, Sinchai Srijan, Water Resources Department, Motihari.
6. Chief Engineer, Water Resources Department, Muzaffarpur.
7. Superintending Engineer, Tirhut Canal Circle, Water Resources Department, Muzaffarpur.
8. Executive Engineer, Tirhut Canal Division, Saraiya, District-Muzaffarpur
9. Executive Engineer, Sone High Level Canal Division, Tekari, District-Gaya.
10. Divisional Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
11. Accountant General, Bihar Birchand Patel Path, Patna.
12. Treasury Officer, Gaya Collectorate,

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shanti Pratap, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar, AC to AAG-4

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 27-11-2024 Heard Mr. Shanti Pratap, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Arvind Kumar, learned AC to learned AAG-4 for the State.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner informs that in view of the Memo No. 926 dated 18.04.2023 brought on record by respondents no. 1 to 9, the



grievance of the petitioner has been redresses. So far as, the claim of the petitioner in paragraph no. 1 of the writ petition is concerned, learned counsel submits that petitioner has still not been paid the difference of amount till date and in light of law laid down by the Apex Court in the case of ***Amresh Kumar Singh & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar & Ors.*** reported in ***2023 SCC OnLine SC 496***, seeks to file detailed representation in respect of his claim before the appropriate authority for considering his case, so that the petitioner may be paid the dues amount in light of the statement made in paragraph no. 8 of the counter affidavit.

3. The authority concerned is directed to must not delay, in any manner, in giving the dues amount, to which the petitioner is entitled for in view of the corrective measures taken by them and dispose of the representation filed on behalf of the petitioner expeditiously in accordance with law.

4. With aforesaid observations/directions, the present writ petition is disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

