

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32201 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-302 Year-2020 Thana- GAYA MUFASIL District- Gaya

1. Sumitra Devi W/o Ram Sarek Paswan Resident of Village- Bhore, P.S.- Muffasil, District-Gaya
2. Ram Sarek Paswan S/o Late Sahdev Paswan Resident of Village- Bhore, P.S.- Muffasil, District-Gaya
3. Rahul Kumar S/o Ram Sarek Paswan Resident of Village- Bhore, P.S.- Muffasil, District-Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vinod Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Pushpa Sinha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-05-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 447, 504, 506, 308, 379 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and petitioner no.1 is woman and have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant with an allegation that while informant was at his door and his father was washing cattle when the



accused persons including the petitioners came variously armed and started abusing and on objection, Ram Sarek Paswan assaulted the father of the informant with farsa causing injury on head and Rahul Kumar assaulted him by an iron rod causing injury while Sumitra Devi also assaulted the mother of the informant and snatched her chain.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are neighbour and on account of dispute relating to passage an altercation took place in which both sides assaulted each other. It is also submitted that though it is alleged that petitioners assaulted the informant and his family members, but then, from perusal of the order impugned, it would manifest that the injury suffered by the injured is simple in nature which amply demonstrates that petitioners never had any intention of committing a serious offence.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, are directed to be released on bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Gaya in connection with Muffasil P. S. Case No.302 of 2020, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

