

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22200 of 2014

Ashok Kumar Kahnani Son of Late Baidya Nath Prasad Kahnani, Resident of Mohalla - Purani Gudari, P.O. - Ramna, P.S. - Town, Anchal Mushahari, Town and District - Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Umesh Prasad Singh Son of Late Damodar Prasad Singh
2. Smt. Sudha devi Wife of Umesh Prasad Singh
3. Jitendra Kumar
4. Vijendra Kumar
5. Jainendra Kumar All Sons of Umesh Prasad Singh All resident of mohalla Data Baba Lane, Nand Vihar Colony, Mithanpura, P.S. - Mithanpura, P.O. - Ramana, town and District - Muzaffarpur.
6. Smt. Bibha Kumari Wife of Sanjay Kumar Thakur, Resident of Amirpur Dharampur, Tajpur Road P.O. - Tajpur, P.S. District - Samastipur.
7. Smt. Aparna Devi Wife of Rakesh Kumar, Resident of Villae and P.O. - Jagdishpur, Baghnagari, P.S. Sakara, District - Muzaffarpur.
8. Bibi Nurun Nahar Kasmi Wife of Late Abbu Lala Kasmi, Resident of Taukir Kasmi House No. A 62 Hazinagar, Mithanpura, P.S. - Mithanpura, P.O. - Ramana, Town and District - Muzaffarpur.
9. Mithilesh Kahnani Son of Ashok Kumar
10. Smt. Niraja Kahnani Wife of Ashok Kumar Kahnani
11. Neha Kahnani Daughter of Ashok Kumar Kahnani
12. Radhika Kahnani Daughter of Ashok Kumar Kahnani
13. Smt. Nandini Jalan Wife of Sanjeev Kumar Jalan and Daughter of Ashok Kumar Kahnani, Respondet No. 9 to 13 Resident of House No. 1-5-102/1 Kothapet, 1-2-1 RNGA Reddy Andhra Pradesh.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 19-06-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“1. That this application is being filed for



issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction for restraining the respondent no.9 to 13 from selling any part of the properties as described in paragraph 3 of the writ application and further prayed for restraining the respondents including respondent no.1 to 8 from dispossessing the petitioner from any part of the properties, the detail of which have been given in paragraph 3 in the present case including part of these properties which has been sold to respondent no.1 to 8 illegally by the Respondents no.9 to 13.”

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present writ petition, to which the learned counsel for the respondent-State has got no objection.

3. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

