

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5347 of 2024

=====

Dilip Kumar Mandal Son of Chatish Prasad Mandal, resident of Village-Kunda, P.S.-Amarpur, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Home (Special) Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director General of Police, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General cum Commandant General of Home Guard, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate cum Collector, Banka.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Banka.
6. The Additional Collector, Banka.
7. The District Welfare Officer, Banka.
8. The District Commandant, Bihar Home Guards, Banka.
9. The Incharge Officer, District General Section, Banka.
10. The Incharge Deputy Development Commissioner cum Director Accounts Administration and Self Employment, District Rural Development Agency, Banka.
11. The S.D.O., Banka.
12. The District Information and Technology Officer, Banka.
13. The Deputy Superintendent of Police (H.Q.) Banka.
14. The District Information Manager, Banka.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Bipin Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Madhaw Prasad Yadav, GP-23
		Mr. Arvind Kumar, AC to GP-23

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 18-04-2024 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

“1(i). For a direction to the respondents to dispose the representation of the Petitioner which has been filed for re-examining the Medical Test of the Petitioner which is pending before the District Commandant



Banka, respondent no. 9.

(ii). For a direction to the respondents after re-examine the medical test if petitioner is found fit to select/appoint the petitioner on the post of home guard against the advertisement no. 2/1 whereas the seat of Home Guard is still vacant whose final result has been published bearing memo no. 1802 dated 11.03.2022 whereas the respondents themselves have invited objection on merit list by the candidate but without taking any action on the objections and without informing the conditions final result regarding the appointment of home guard has been published.

(iii). For direction to the respondents One seat be vacant till the disposal of the case because vacancy is already available.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the Respondents authorities for redressal of his aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The present writ petition stands disposed off as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

