

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23946 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-403 Year-2022 Thana- BARARI District- Katihar

Rahul Ray @ Rahul Kumar Ray Son of Tej Narayan Ray @ Tej Narayan Roy
R/o Village- Mohana Chandpur, P.S.- Barari (Semapur O.P.), Dist.- Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Davendra Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the State : Mr.Uday Pratap Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 05-07-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt for bail of the petitioner as earlier such prayer was rejected by order dated 23.05.2023 in Cr. Misc. No. 27020 of 2023.

3. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Barari P.S. Case No. 403 of 2022 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 307, 302, 201, 364, 386, 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

4. The following order was passed on 23.05.2023 in Cr. Misc. No. 27020 of 2023 which reads as under:

*“Heard the learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned counsel for the State.
Let the defect(s), if any, be removed*



within a period of four weeks from today.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Barari P.S. Case No. 403 of 2022 registered for the offence under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 307, 302, 201, 364, 386, 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

This is a case of triple murder and the materials have come to connect the petitioner in the crime.

Learned A.P.P. has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail. In view of the aforesaid fact, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer of bail of the petitioner is rejected herewith.

The trial Court is directed to expedite the trial of all the cases in one court pending against the petitioner.”

5. The report from the trial Court was called. The trial Court has reported that the case is pending for prosecution evidence.

6. Considering the fact that this is case of triple murder and materials have come against the petitioner to connect with the crime, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

8. The prosecution is directed to produce the witnesses regularly.

9. The Superintendent of Police, Katihar is directed to produce the witnesses on the date fixed so that the trial is not



delayed.

10. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the Superintendent of Police, Katihar for its compliance through FAX or e-mail forthwith.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

