

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23357 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-8 Year-2024 Thana- MUSRIGHRARI District- Samastipur

Deepak Kumar, Son Of Ram Sewak Ray @ Ram Sevak Ray, Resident Of
Village - Mohanpur, P.S. - Samastipur (Muffasil), District - Samastipur
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rana Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Atul Chandra, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 04-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Mushrigharari P.S. Case No. 08/2024, lodged on 23.01.2024
under Sections 461, 379 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the FIR has been
lodged against unknown accused persons with an allegation of
committing theft.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel
further submits that the mobile phone which was alleged to be
recovered from the petitioner does not tally with the list of theft
articles as mentioned in the FIR and seizure list. The petitioner
is accused in one more criminal case and is in custody since
31.01.2024.



5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that in the rejection order it has come that the stolen articles have been recovered from the house of the petitioner.

6. Upon specific query from the counsel for the petitioner whether the charge has been framed or not, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is not aware about the fact whether the charge has been framed or not.

7. In the present facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner in connection with Mushrigharari P.S. Case No. 08/2024/, pending before the learned CJM, Samastipur is hereby rejected.

9. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after one month of the framing of the charge.

10. With this observation, the bail application stands disposed off.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

