

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5990 of 2024

=====

Rizwana Khatoon Wife of Md. Firoz Alam Resident of Village- Phoolwari
Nankar, P.O.- Pawakhali, P.S.- Powakhali, District- Kishanganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Integrated Child Development Scheme, Pant Bhawan, Patna.
4. The Divisional Commissioner, Purnea, Bihar.
5. The District Magistrate, Kishanganj, Bihar.
6. The District Programme Officer, Kishanganj, Bihar.
7. The Child Development Project Officer, Thakurganj Block, Kishanganj.
8. Anita Adhikari Wife of Ashok Adhikari Resident of Village- Phulwari, P.O. and P.S.- Powakhali, District- Kishanganj.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Government Advocate 06

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 25-04-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following reliefs:-

*“1. (i) For quashing the Memo No.
90 dated 23/02/2024 (Annexure-
P/6) of the Respondent No. 6 by
which service of the present
petitioner has been terminated on
the post of Aanganwari Savika at
Centre No. 157, Centre Name-
Phoolwari, Panchoyat-Nankar*



Powakhali, Block- Thakurganj, District- Kishanganj and Respondent No. 8 has been re-employed as Aanganwari Savika on that centre after 12 years of termination of her service from the post of Aanganwari Savika.

(ii) For quashing the order dated 06/01/2024 bearing Memo No. 87 dated 11/01/24 (Annexure-P/5) passed in Aanganbari Appeal No. 09/2023 (Anita Adhikari Vs. The State of Bihar) by the Divisional Commissioner, Purnea (Respondent No. 4) by which Respondent No. 8 has been reinstated without passing an order of removal of the petitioner from the post of Aanganwari Sevika."

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a suit before the Ld. Civil Court having competent jurisdiction, for redressal of the aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is



granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

