

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24097 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-486 Year-2023 Thana- PAROO District- Muzaffarpur

Azad Kumar @ Azad Singh S/o Lalan Singh R/o Village- Raghunathpur, P.S.-
Paroo, District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyendra Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 01-05-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

02. In the present case, the petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Paroo P.S. Case No. 486 of 2023, registered for the alleged offence under Sections 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

03. As per prosecution case, police received secret information about petitioner keeping illicit liquor in his house and selling it with the help co-accused Dinesh Singh. A raid was conducted on the house of the petitioner and two persons fled away from the spot. The villagers and local people named the petitioner and co-accused as persons who fled away from the spot. Thereafter a search was conducted and from the house of the petitioner, 48.420 liters of India made foreign liquor was



recovered.

04. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Recovery was made from the joint house of the petitioner but the petitioner has no concern with illicit liquor. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is having clean antecedent and has been dragged in this case due to village politics. The petitioner is not involved in dealing with illicit liquor.

05. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. Learned APP further submits that the recovery has been made from the house of the petitioner.

06. Having regard to the nature of allegation, which appears to be quite serious, I do not think it is a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Hence, his prayer for anticipatory bail is **rejected**.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Ashish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

