

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.161 of 2022

1. Tamanna Perween daughter of Mohammad Halimuddin resident of Mohalla - Bhadaunisharief, Ali Nagar, Rizvi Chowk, P.S. and District - Nawada.
2. Shaheen Perween daughter of Mohammad Halimuddin, resident of Mohalla - Bhadaunisharief, Ali Nagar, Rizvi Chowk, P.S. and District - Nawada.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through The District Magistrate, Nawada.
2. Principal Secretary Human Resource Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. District Magistrate, Nawada.
4. District Teacher Niyojan Authority, Nawada, through its Secretary.
5. Id-Member District Teacher Niyojan Authority, Nawada.
6. District Education Officer, Nawada.
7. District Program Officer, Nawada.
8. Block Development Officer, Nawada.
9. Pramukh Nawada Block, Nawada.
10. Block Education Extension Officer, Nawada.
11. Md. Ishteyaque Ahmad Aurangzeb @ Md. Aurangzeb Son of Md. Wasi Ahmad Shah, resident of Mohalla - Bhadauni, P.S. Nawada, District - Nawada.
12. Md. Iftekhhar Ahmad Shahnawaz @ Shahnawaz Son of Md. Wasi Ahmad Shah resident of Mohalla - Bhadauni, P.S. Nawada, District - Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Nadimul Hasan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Rohitabh Das, AC to AAG13

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER



(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

5 29-08-2024 The appellants are concerned with an appointment which was initiated in the year 2006 to the post of Niyojit Teacher. The learned Single Judge found that the appellants' application was in the year 2007 while the last date for submitting application form was August, 2006. Only on that ground the writ petition was dismissed.

2. The learned Counsel for the appellants submits that in fact the application was filed in the year 2006 itself.

3. The learned Counsel for the appellants specifically pointed to Annexure-1, which is a receipt indicating the submission of an application of the first appellant; Tamanna Perween, on 31.08.2006. So far as the second appellant is concerned, the receipt is produced at Annexure-8 which does not show any date.

4. Admittedly, the last date for application was in August,2006 which is also seen from the impugned order in the appeal. However, the date is not specified. Obviously the submission of application of the second appellant is not on a specified date and with respect to the first appellant, it is on 31.08.2006. The appellants had a duty to establish before Court as to the last date of the application, which has not been done. We find absolutely no reason to entertain this appeal with



respect to a selection carried out in the year 2006.

5. The writ petition is dismissed.

(K. Vinod Chandran, CJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Harsh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

