

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24661 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-382 Year-2016 Thana- BARAUNI District- Begusarai

=====

Ajeet Singh @ Sutarba @ Ajeet Kumar Son Of Suresh Singh, Resident Of
Village - Bishanpur - Malhipur, P.S.- Barauni (Chakia), District - Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shubhesh Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyendra Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

6 20-09-2024 Heard Mr. Shubhesh Pandey, the learned counsel for

the petitioner and Mr. Satyendra Prasad, the learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail who is in custody since

26.10.2016, in connection with Barauni (Chakiya) P.S. Case No.

382 of 2016, FIR dated 26.10.2016, registered for the offences

punishable under Sections 115, 353, 307 and 34 of the Indian

Penal Code and under Section 25(1-b)a, 26, 27 and 35 of the

Arms Act.

3. Earlier the petitioner has moved before this

Hon'ble Court in Cr. Misc. No. 71331 of 2022, which was

rejected vide order dated 08.05.2023.

4. According to the prosecution case, after receiving

information that a criminal who is wanted in double murder case



was seen going towards Diara with his associates, the police party reached at the alleged place, however, the miscreants started firing over the police party. It is further alleged that two persons were apprehended at the spot and from the possession apprehended persons huge quantity of fire-arms were recovered.

5. Vide order dated 02.08.2024, a report was called for with regard to the stage of the trial and report dated 06.09.2024 of the learned trial Court reveals that all the prosecution witnesses have been examined and case is pending for the examination of defense witnesses.

6. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State on the other hand has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that from bare perusal of the FIR, it appears that there is specific allegation against the petitioner in the FIR. Apart from that, petitioner carries 28 cases other than the present of almost similar nature.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances as well as the report of the learned trial Court, I am **not** inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Barauni (Chakiya) P.S. Case No. 382 of 2016, pending in the Court of learned Additional Session Judge-II, Begusarai.

8. Prayer is refused.



9. However, the learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

