

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26472 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-93 Year-2023 Thana- RAJEPUR District- East Champaran

Jitendra Kumar @ Jitendra Pandit Son Of Rama Pandit @ Ramu Pandit
Resident Of Village - Salempur, P.S. - Rajepur, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vijay Shankar Shrivastava

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pramod Kumar Pandey

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

5 12-07-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned A.P.P appearing on behalf of the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a

case registered for the offences punishable under

Sections 304(B), 201, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The accusation is of killing the daughter of the

informant by her in-laws family members including the

petitioner.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the

petitioner that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely

been implicated in this case. The petitioner is husband of

the deceased. The deceased died due to diarrhea and



vomiting. The petitioner and other family members treated the deceased by local doctor but the deceased could not survive and died. After death, the family members of the deceased were informant and in their presence, last rituals of the deceased was done. Moreover, for the alleged occurrence of 21.04.2023, F.I.R was registered on 01.05.2023 without explaining the delay. A statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has got no criminal antecedent.

In contra, learned A.P.P appearing on behalf of the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is husband of the deceased. The deceased died within seven years of marriage. During investigation, the witnesses have also supported the case of the prosecution.

Considering the fact that petitioner is husband of the deceased and the death occurred within seven years of the marriage, this Court is not inclined to grant



privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The prayer
for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner stands
rejected.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Shageer/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

