

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24236 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-148 Year-2023 Thana- DEWARIA District- Muzaffarpur

=====

Aman Kumar @ Aman Kumar Singh Son Of Dinesh Singh Resident of
Village- Bishunpur Patti, P.S-. Sahebganj, District -Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Rajeev Ranjan No. II, Advocate
	Ms. Priyanka Kumari, Advocate
	Ms. Kumari Rupa, Advocate
	Mr. Bhubneshwar Mahto, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Ms. Pushpa Sinha.1, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 04-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Dewaria P.S. Case No. 148 of 2023, lodged on 27.07.2023,
under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against
unknown accused persons against whom there is allegation that
they entered in the Common Service Centre and looted away
Rs.1,30,000/- and one mobile phone on gun point.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel
submits that nothing incriminating has been recovered from his



possession and he was not put on Test Identification Parade. Counsel further submits that there are two criminal antecedents of the petitioner in which he is on bail. Counsel submits that petitioner is in custody since 08.01.2024. Counsel further submits that in the rejection order it has come that the name of the petitioner has figured in this case by virtue of confessional statement of co-accused from whose possession the looted mobile has been recovered.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

6. Upon the specific query from the counsel for the petitioner whether the charge has been framed or not, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that charge has not been framed till date.

7. In the present facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to renew the prayer for bail after framing of the charge.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Mkr./-

U			
---	--	--	--

