

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5635 of 2024

=====

Vimal Kumar S/o Baleshwar Yadav Village- Chature Khap, P.S. Amas,
District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Director General of Police Office, Bihar, Patna
2. The Director General CUN Commandant General Home Guard and Fire
Brigade Services, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Gaya.
4. The Senior Superintendent of Police Gaya.
5. The Senior Commandant Home Guard, Gaya.
6. The District Welfare Officer Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Praveen Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Government Pleader 06

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 18-04-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following relief(s):-

*“1(i)For issuance of writ the Mandamus or any
other writ or direction to respondent to declare
the petitioner successful for the post of Home
guard with regard to Amas Prakhanda Dist. Gaya
against advertisement no. 02/2011 and selection
list prepared including the name of petitioner
since he stood 2nd in the provisional merit list in
the physical test out of 35 candidates.*

*(ii) For issuance of writ of Mandamus or any
other writ or direction to respondent to appoint
the petitioner in the post of Home guard
forthwith since he has got 2nd highest mark in
the physical test which was the sole criteria for*



selection.

(iii) For issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other writ or direction to bring the entire selection papers and video graphs for the selection process of Amas Prakhanda in the District of Gaya since three member committee has been authorized to verify the marks obtained by the candidates in the physical test and under their signature provisional merit list was prepared and uploaded on the website.

(iv) For issuance in the nature of any other appropriate writ for setting aside the reduction of marks of petitioner from 14 to 9 by the respondent in utter violation of principle of natural justice.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the respondent-authorities for redressal of his aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The present writ petition stands disposed off as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

