

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26553 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-740 Year-2021 Thana- KATIHAR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Katihar

-
1. Md. Sajjad Alam Son of Bogen Ali Resident of Village- Siktiya, P.S.- Azamnagar, District- Katihar
 2. Bibi Shahnaz Wife of Md. Sajjad Alam Resident of Village- Siktiya, P.S.- Azamnagar, District- Katihar
 3. Sampat Khatoon D/o of Md. Sajjad Alam Resident of Village- Siktiya, P.S.- Azamnagar, District- Katihar
 4. Lokhia Khatoon D/o of Md. Sajjad Alam Resident of Village- Siktiya, P.S.- Azamnagar, District- Katihar
 5. Sahzabi Khatoon D/o of Md. Sajjad Alam Resident of Village- Siktiya, P.S.- Azamnagar, District- Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sima Khatoon W/o Md. Zainul Alam, D/o Md. Salimuddin Resident of Village - Dilalpur, P.S. - Balia Belone (Kadwa), District - Katihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Madhav Krishna, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Harendra Prasad, APP
For Opposite Party No.2	:	Md. Musowir, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 20-08-2024 Heard learned counsels for the parties.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a complaint case registered for the offence punishable under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, there is allegation of matrimonial cruelty and demand of dowry.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners



that Petitioner No. 1 is father-in-law, Petitioner No. 2 is mother-in-law and Petitioner Nos. 3, 4 and 5 are sisters-in-law of the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 and they are separate in mess & property and have got no concern with the affairs of the complainant and her husband. Allegations are general and omnibus. Thrust of the accusation is against husband of the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioners, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, let the above named petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Katihar, in connection with Complaint Case No. 740 of 2021, subject to condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of



the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

