

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5081 of 2024

=====

Kapildev Sharma S/o- Tetar Sharma, R/o Village - Pachiyari Tola, Madrauni,
P.S.- Rangara, Dist.- Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Dept. of Human Resources and Development, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Additional Chief Secretary, Dept. of Human Resources and Development, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Dist.- Bhagalpur.
5. The District Education Officer, Bhagalpur.
6. The District Programme Officer, Bhagalpur.
7. The Block Education Officer, Block Rangara Chowk, Dist.- Bhagalpur.
8. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Madrauni, Block - Rangra Chowk, Dist. - Bhagalpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajkumar Rajesh, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Government Pleader (5)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 20-06-2024 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

“1(i). For issuance of writ in nature of mandamus any other appropriate writ/writs, commanding and directing the Respondent authorities, to initiate the proper inquiry against Indrajeet Kumar & other similar situated appointees, on the post of Panchayat Teacher, within Gram Panchayat Raj Rangara in light of order 5/12/2016 of PIL petition bearing CWJC No.- 15459/2014 passed by this Hon'ble High



court, where the candidates have been illegally appointed with help of false fabricated and forged documents, apart from, Roaster sheets with connivance of local employment unit is still in service, beyond the several letters of state officials, is not acceptable in accordance of law.

(ii). For that further direction may be given for proper vigilance inquiry, verification of irregularity and to institute the F.I.R. along with appropriate steps to recover the necessary monetary benefit which has been taken by the candidates, so concerned for continuation of Rule of law and administration of justice.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law. Liberty, so sought, is granted.
3. The writ petition stands dismissed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

