

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1074 of 2021

In
Letters Patent Appeal No.184 of 2018

=====

Sams Praweiz Alam, son of Sri Afzalur Rahman, Resident of Village-P.O. Bhadai, P.S.-Hapauri, District-Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar.
2. Sanjay Kumar, The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. Ranjit Kumar Singh, The Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
4. Pranaw Kumar, The Collector cum-District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur.
5. Vinod Kumar Prasad, The Block Development Officer, Aurai, District-Muzaffarpur.
6. Rabindra Baitha, The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Bhadai Block-Aurai, District-Muzaffarpur.
7. Vinod Kumar Sinha, The Panchayat Sewak Gram Panchayat Raj Block-Aurai, District-Muzaffarpur.
8. Sri Abdul Manan father name not known to the petitioner, Panchayat Raj Shikakha Mitra Gram Panchayat Raj Bhadai Block-Aurai, District-Muzaffarpur.
9. Vijay Kumar Sinha, The District Teachers Employment Appellate Authority, Muzaffarpur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ram Narayan Mahto, Adv.
For the State : Mr.Naman Nayak, AC to AAG-13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

Date : 18-09-2024

The present MJC is filed in the year 2021 insofar as complying the orders of this court dated 07.12.2018 passed in LPA No. 184 of 2018. The present contempt petition is beyond



time limit of one year, in the light of Section 20 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. Co-ordinate Bench in the case of ***Dr. Rajesh Kumar Sinha & Ors. vs. The Union of India & Ors. bearing M.J.C. No. 1840 of 2023 in C.W.J.C. No. 13888 of 2016*** elaborately discussed Section 20 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution. Recently, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***S. Tirupathi Rao vs. M. Lingamaiah & Ors.*** reported in ***2024 SCC OnLine SC 1764*** held that belated contempt petition is not entertainable.

2. Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands disposed of as not maintainable, reserving liberty to the petitioner to file fresh writ petition in accordance with law.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

shahzad/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	24.09.2024
Transmission Date	N.A.

