

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6026 of 2024

=====

Anjali Kumari wife of Raju Kumar, Resident of Village-Tilangi Banarjhula,
P.S. Sonbarsa, District-Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Department of Social Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director ICDS, Department of Social Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Collector, Sitamarhi.
4. The District Program Officer, Sitamarhi.
5. The Child Development Programme Officer, Sonbarsa, Sitamarhi.
6. Priyanka Kumari, wife of Aniruddh Kumar Rai, Resident of Village-Tilangi, Banarjhula, P.S. Sonbarsa, District-Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Divit Vinod, AC to SC-26

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 25-04-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following relief:-

*“1. That, this application is being
filed for issuance of an appropriate
writ/writs in the nature of Certiorari
for quashing the order dated
07.10.2017 vide letter no.1043
passed in Appeal No.26 of 2017
passed by the learned District
Program Officer, Sitamarhi and for
quashing of the order dated
13.05.2023 passed in Anganwadi*



Appeal No.5 of 2018 whereby and whereunder the learned Collector, Sitamarhi without considering the fact of the case set aside the appointment of the respondent but directed to publish a fresh advertisement for appointment of Anganwadi Sewika which is illegal in view of the fact that the petitioner being disable was eligible for the appointment and further issuance for writ/writs in the nature of Mandamus to direct the respondents authority to reconsider the claim of the petitioner for appointment as Anganwadi Sewika in the facts and circumstances of the present case and for grant of other relief or reliefs to which the petitioner is found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a suit before the Ld. Civil Court having



competent jurisdiction, for redressal of the
aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is
granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not
pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

