

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.227 of 2024

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12519 of 2023

=====

Bhagwan Singh Son of Late Kailash Singh Resident of Village- Morath, P.S.-
Udwanthnagar, District- Bhojpur at Ara.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Bhojpur, Ara.
3. The Additional Collector, Bhojpur, Ara.
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Bhojpur, Ara.
5. The Circle Officer, Udwanthnagar, Bhojpur, Ara.
6. Hareshwar Singh S/o Late Ram Belash Singh Resident of Village- Morath, P.S.- Udwanth Nagar, District- Bhojpur, Ara.
7. Rajeshwar Singh S/o Late Ram Belash Singh Resident of Village- Morath, P.S.- Udwanth Nagar, District- Bhojpur, Ara.
8. Munna Singh S/o Tej Narayan Singh Resident of Village- Morath, P.S.- Udwanth Nagar, District- Bhojpur, Ara.
9. Tej Narayan Singh S/o Late Kameshwar Singh Resident of Village- Morath, P.S.- Udwanth Nagar, District- Bhojpur, Ara.



10. Mukesh Kumar Singh S/o Hareshwar Singh Resident of Village- Morath,
P.S.- Udwant Nagar, District- Bhojpur, Ara.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.Neeraj Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Additional Advocate General 12

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 17-05-2024

The appeal was taken up on the mentioning since the
appellant apprehended demolition of his house.

2. Today, when the matter came up before this Court the
appellant contends that he is a whistle blower and since he had
filed some complaints before the Sub Divisional Officer
vindictive measures were taken against him for demolition of
his house. In fact the proceedings were taken with respect to a
different plot and half of the appellant's house has been
demolished.

3. We have seen the order passed by the learned Single
Judge which only notice that the measurement taken was not in
the presence of the appellant. The appellant was directed to be
noticed and further measurements to be taken in the presence of



the appellant who was also permitted to have the benefit of an advocate who knows the principles of survey. It was also directed that if any encroachment is found then the respondent authority should pass a reasoned order and proceed in accordance with law. We find absolutely no reason to interfere with judgment passed.

4. The LPA stands dismissed.

(K. Vinod Chandran, CJ)

(Harish Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	17.05.2024
Transmission Date	

