

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4940 of 2024

Vindu Kumari Wife of Hridayakanta Jha, Resident of village and Post Office- Harlakhi Hat Wariya, Ward No. 15, Police Station- Harlakhi, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, I.C.D.S., Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Madhubani.
5. The District Programme Officer, Madhubani.
6. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Benipatti, Madhubani.
7. The Block Development Officer, Harlakhi, Madhubani.
8. The Child Development Project Officer, Harlakhi, Madhubani.
9. The Lady Supervisor, Panchayat, Narhaniya Block, Harlakhi, District- Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Mazharul Hassan, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Government Pleader 18

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 04-04-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“1. That this writ application is being directed for issuance of an appropriate writ or writs, order or orders, direction or directions commanding the Respondents for quashing the order dated 23.12.2023 passed in Anganwari Appeal No. 53 of 2023 by the Court of Collector, Madhubani and order dated 06.06.2023 passed in Letter No. 1179 by District Programme Officer, Madhubani who cancelled the contractual appointment of the



petitioner from the post of Anganwari Sevika illegally. Because District Programme Officer sent a Letter No. 1157 dated 03.06.2023 for appear before and file counter of the applicant Rani Kumari on 13.06.2023 and on the date a notice was issued by the Office of District Programme Officer. That the Court will be adjourn next date was fixed on 20.06.2023. But in the meantime termination order has been passed on 06.06.2023 without hearing of the parties without inspection of record petitioner filed Appeal before the district Collector, Madhubani learned Court was also not consider and reject the prayer of the petitioner, thereafter petitioner was file present writ application.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a suit before the learned Civil Court having competent jurisdiction for redressal of her aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

sonal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

