

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22748 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-532 Year-2021 Thana- AMARPUR District- Banka

Rajesh Poddar S/o Late Lakho Poddar @ Late Lakhi Poddar R/o vill - Paway
dih, P.S. - Amarpur, Distt. - Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pankaj Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 10-05-2024 Heard Mr.Pankaj Kumar, learned counsel appearing
for the petitioner and Mr.Anil Kumar, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
19.04.2023 in connection with S.Tr.No.486 of 2023 arising out
of Amarpur P.S. Case No.532 of 2021, F.I.R. dated 07.11.2021
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 307,304B
of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition
Act.

3. Earlier the prayer for bail of the petitioner was
rejected vide order dated 25.09.2023 passed in Cr.Misc.
No.55300 of 2023.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been



implicated in the present case on the ground that the petitioner is husband of the deceased and in fact no such occurrence had taken place and the victim has received burn injury due to an accident and the petitioner also received one injury.

5. Vide order dated 20.03.2024 a report was called for with regard to the present status of the trial. Report of the learned Trial Court dated 23.03.2024 reveals that the trial has began and witnesses have been examined.

6. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

7. Considering aforesaid facts, trial has began and there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with S.Tr.No.486 of 2023 arising out of Amarpur P.S. Case No.532 of 2021, pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Banka.

8. Prayer is refused.

9. However, the learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

