

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4942 of 2024

1. Shambhu Nath Jha Son of Late Guneshwar Jha, Resident of Village- Mahinathpur, Police Station - Basopatti, District - Madhubani.
2. Viveka Nand Jha, Son of Late Sukhdeo Jha, Resident of Village- Mahinathpur, Police Station - Basopatti, District - Madhubani.
3. Mohan Kumar Choudhary, Son of Chandeshwar Choudhary, Resident of Village- Mahinathpur, Police Station - Basopatti, District - Madhubani.
4. Mangu Jha, Son of Late Hari Sunder Jha, Resident of Village- Mahinathpur, Police Station - Basopatti, District - Madhubani.
5. Vina Devi, Wife of Late Shresth Narayan Jha, Resident of Village- Mahinathpur, Police Station - Basopatti, District - Madhubani.
6. Ramashish Pandey, Son of Late Ram Khelavan Pandey, Resident of Village - Khauna, Police Station - Basopatti, District - Madhubani.
7. Vaidehi Devi, Wife of Late Mahadev Jha, Resident of Village- Kakraul, Police Station - Rahika, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Finance, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Special Director, Secondary Education (Incharge Sanskrit), Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Education Officer, Madhubani.
6. The District Programme Officer, Establishment, Madhubani.
7. The Chairman, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.
8. The Secretary, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Hemant Kumar Jha
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Government Pleader (5)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 05-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned



counsel for the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the case of the petitioners is fully covered by the judgment passed by this Court in L.P.A. No.43 of 2016 and analogous cases.

3. Considering the aforesaid facts, since the case of the petitioners stand on similar to that of the petitioners of L.P.A. No.43 of 2016, there is no requirement to keep pending the present writ application.

4. Accordingly, petitioners are directed to file a detailed representation along with a copy of the order passed in L.P.A. No.43 of 2016, before the respondent nos.5 and 6 within a period of four weeks and the respondent nos.5 and 6 are well advised to consider the case of the petitioners and dispose of the representation of the petitioners after affording an opportunity of personal hearing or through their counsel and pass a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law and in light of the order passed in L.P.A. No.43 of 2016 within eight weeks from the date of filing of such representation by the petitioners and also pay the claim/admitted dues of the petitioners.

5. It is made clear that respondent nos.5 and 6 shall be personally held responsible for non-compliance of this order.



6. With the aforesaid directions, this writ application stands disposed of.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

pallavi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

