

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20311 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-120 Year-2022 Thana- DORIGANJ District- Saran

VIKAS RAY S/O- DHANESHWAR RAY Village- Daftarpur Ps- Doriganj
Dist- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sumit Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

8 30-01-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in a case
instituted for the offence under Section 302, 120B/34 of the
Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per allegation in the FIR, petitioner in
connivance with other named accused persons shot dead the
elder son of the informant in front of him.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to
previous dispute. Specific allegation of firing is attributed to co-
accused Jitendra Ray. Petitioner was only member of mob. Both
parties are on inimical term. Petitioner was remanded in the
instant case from Doriganj P.S. Case No. 75 of 2021 on 2.9.2022



and since then, he is in custody.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and submitted that informant is the eye witness of the alleged occurrence. As per postmortem, doctor opined cause of death duet o fire-arm injury. During investigation, in para 18, 19 and 20 witnesses have supported the prosecution story.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of allegation, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

7. The trial court is directed to expedite and conclude the trial.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

sushma/-

U			
---	--	--	--

