

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23447 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-366 Year-2022 Thana- SUPPI District- Sitamarhi

Ranjeet Sah SON OF Asarfi Sah RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- PACHHARWA,  
PS- MAJORGANJ, DISTT- SITAMARHI

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Anzarul Haque Sahara, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

- 3      10-04-2024                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 414, 272 and 273 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of three cases.
4. Allegation is of recovery of 953.79 litres of liquor from TATA Sumo and a motorcycle.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of any of the seized vehicles and he came to be implicated based on the confessional statement of Ramesh Kumar and Shyam Babu Patel in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value in the eye



of law. It is further submitted that it appears that the police in order to save the real culprits falsely implicated the petitioner based on the confessional statement of apprehended accused.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Suppi P.S. Case No. 366 of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

8. However, the learned trial court, before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner, shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than three cases in that event the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

