

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5136 of 2024

=====

Sulekha Kumari Wife of Kamal Kumar Ray Resident of Village- Kalyanpur
South Bishanpur Tola, Police Station- Bibhutipur, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director, I.C.D.S., Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Department Public Grievance Redressal Office, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
4. The District Magistrate, Samastipur.
5. The District Public Grievance Redressal Office, Samastipur.
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Bibhutipur, Samastipur.
7. Shobha Devi, Wife of Amarjeet Kumar Ray Resident of Village- Kalyanpur South, Police Station- Bibhutipur, District- Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Abhay Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Vivek Prasad, GP-7
		Mr. Sudhanshu Bhushan, AC to GP-7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 04-04-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following relief:-

“1. That this writ application in the nature of Mandamus is being filed for commanding and directing the respondents especially the respondent no. 2, the Director I.C.D.S, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna, who has sat over the representation of



the petitioner dated 05.01.2021, whereby and whereunder, the order dated 03.08.2023 in Service Appeal No. 34 of 2012-2013, passed by the Divisional Commissioner, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga has to be reviewed, departmentally for restoration of the order dated 28.02.2012 passed by the District Magistrate, Samastipur in Case No. 61/2011, by which the selection of private respondent no. 7 was cancelled and the C.D.P.O Bibhutipur was also directed to start afresh selection process for Anganwari Sevika in Centre No. 209 under Block Bibhutipur in the District of Samastipur.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a suit before the Ld. Civil Court having competent jurisdiction, for redressal of the aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is



granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

