

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.835 of 2024

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20660 of 2014

Government School Hospital and Entire Land Bachao Sangharsh Sammittee through its Coordinator, Anay Kumar @ Anay Mehta, male, aged about 51 years, S/o Sita Ram Mehta, resident of mohalla Kasba, Karimabad, P.S.-Mehandiganj, Patna City, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Mr. Amir Subhani, the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Mr. Dayanidhan Pandey, the Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. Kumar Ravi, the Divisional Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna.
4. Dr. Chandra Shekhar Singh, the District Magistrate, Patna.
5. Mrs. Gunjan Singh, the Sub Divisional Officer, Patna City, Patna.
6. Mr. Jitendra Pandey, the Circle Officer, Patna Sadar, Patna.
7. Mr. Ranjeet Kr. Verma, the Block Development Officer, Patna Sadar, Patna.
8. Mr. Animesh Parasar, the Commissioner, Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna.
9. Mr. Arvind Kumar, The S.H.O., Mehandiganj, Patna City, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Santosh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shailendra Kumar Dwivedi
For the PMC : Mr. Sanjay Prakash Verma, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

Date : 21-03-2024

The present MJC contempt is second round litigation in respect of non-implementation of the order of this Court dated 30.01.2016 passed in CWJC No. 20660 of 2014. Earlier the petitioner has filed MJC No. 2876 of 2016 (contempt) and it was dismissed. It is necessary to reproduce the order dated



20.08.2018, it reads as under:

In view of the statements explained in the show cause filed today, we find no reason to pursue this contempt application which is accordingly dismissed.

2. Having regard to these developments there is no liberty given to the petitioner to file fresh MJC contempt. Accordingly, the present MJC contempt for the second time is not maintainable. Hence, petition stands dismissed. However, petitioner is at liberty to file interlocutory application to revive the order dated 20.08.2018 passed in MJC No. 2876 of 2016 in accordance with law.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

vashudha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	01.04.2024
Transmission Date	NA

