

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27090 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-225 Year-2023 Thana- NAUTAN District- Siwan

Vijay Kumar Sahu Son Of Dilip Kumar Sahu @ Dilip Kumar R/O-Mansa  
Devi Railway Colony, 46/E, Railway Station, Rae Bareli, P.S. - Kotwali,  
District - Rai Bareli, Uttar Pradesh

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Branch Manager, North Bihar Gramin Bank, Sahpur Nautan, Siwan  
Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                                  |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Yashraj Bardhan, Adv.                        |
| For the State        | : | Mr. Ajit Kumar, APP                              |
| For the O.P. No. 2   | : | Mr. Prabhakar Jha, Adv.<br>Mr. Amitesh Jha, Adv. |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      02-12-2024                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned  
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the opposite party  
no. 2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in Nautan P.S.  
Case No. 225 of 2023 registered for the offences punishable  
under Sections 406, 409, 420, 467, 468/34 of the Indian Penal  
Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner along  
with other co-accused persons are said to have committed fraud  
of Rs.11 lakh by opening various savings accounts, term  
deposits, other accounts and issuing fake deposit certificates.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. He submits that the petitioner joined the service in the year 2014. He further submit there is no specific overt act against the petitioner. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-3 of this application.

5. Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2 opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submitted that the investigation is still going on.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of case and the nature of offence, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. The prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

**(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)**

anand/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

