

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20606 of 2021**

- 
1. Arvind Kumar @ Arbid Kumar S/o Bandelal Mukhiya a resident of Village-Kanhua Godhiyari Ward No. 1, P.S.- Gamhariya, District- Madhepura.
  2. Ranjit Kumar Yadav S/o Mahgu Prasad Yadav a resident of Village-Jiwachhpur Madanpur, P.S.- Bharahi, District- Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The State Project Director, Bihar Education Project Council, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Divisional Commissioner, Koshi Division, Tirhut.
5. The Administrative Officer, Bihar Education Project Council, Patna.
6. The District Education Officer, Madhepura.
7. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Madhepura.
8. The District Programme Officer, Primary Education and Sarv Shiksha Abhiyan, Madhepura.
9. The Senior Deputy Collector, Madhepura.

... .. Respondent/s

---

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kamal Kishore Singh, Adv.  
For the State : Mr. Ram Vinay Prasad Singh, AC to GA-XII  
For the Respondents No. 5 & 8 : Mr. Girijesh Kumar, Adv.

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      29-03-2024              The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

“1(A). For commanding the respondents to fill up the post of Class IV cum Night Guard against Advertisement No. 16452 (NI-NI) (12-13) as contained in Annexure-2 considering the merit list published by District Education officer, Madhepura, Bihar Education project on



27.8.2015 in favour of 8 persons including the petitioners as contained in Annexure-3 forthwith.  
(B). Also commanding the respondents to fill up the vacancy class-IV employee against office under the District Magistrate, Madhepura as per Bihar (Group Class Recruitment and service condition)(Amendment) Rule 2012.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioners to approach the Respondents authorities for redressal of their aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The present writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

**(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)**

Ajay/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

