

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4961 of 2020

=====

Bhola Mahto Son of Balchandra Mahto, Resident of Village Bathnaha Purbi,
Ward No. 3, P.S. Bathnaha, Block- Bathnaha, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director Land Acquisition Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
3. The Collector, Sitamarhi.
4. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Navin Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the State s : Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha, SC-19

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

7 23-09-2024 Heard Mr. Navin Kumar Sinha, learned counsel for

the petitioner and Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha, learned SC-19 appearing

on behalf of the State.

2. In view of the stand taken by the State-respondents
in paragraph nos. 8, 9 & 13 of the counter affidavit which is
reproduced hereinbelow;

8. That the land in question i.e. Khata No. 1299, Kheshra No. 6106 in Mauza-Bathnaha, Thana No. 183 has not been acquired under the aforesaid project, Thus payment of compensation for the said land does not arise.

9. That the petitioner submitted claim for payment of compensation for the land in question which has not been acquired and hence the claim of the petitioner for payment



of compensation is not acceptable.

13. That the statement made in para 7 & 8 is concerned, it is submitted that the land in question has not been acquired under the said project and criteria for compensation and award has been followed as per the guidelines provided in Bihar Land Acquisition, Resettlement and Rehabilitation Policy, 2007.

3. No case is made out for the interference of this Court, accordingly, the same is hereby dismissed.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

