

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18367 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-72 Year-2020 Thana- PASRAHA District- Khagaria

=====

Ravindra Das @ Ravindra Kumar @ Ravindra Das @ Ravindra Kumar Das
Son Of Late Pheku Das Resident Of Village - Kolwara, P.S. - Maraiya
Parbatta, District - Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. N.K.Agrawal, Sr.Advocate
	:	Mr.Kumar Rajdeep, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Jai Narain Thakur, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 10-05-2024 Heard Mr. N.K.Agrawal, learned senior counsel

appearing for the petitioner, learned counsel for the informant
and Mr.Jai Narain Thakur, learned Additional Public Prosecutor
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
18.10.2022 in connection with S.Tr.No.624 of 2023 arising out
of Pasraha P.S. Case No.72 of 2020, F.I.R. dated 02.08.2020
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 302 and 34
of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Earlier the prayer for bail of the petitioner was
rejected vide order dated 19.07.2023 passed in Cr.Misc.
No.26029 of 2023.

4. Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner



submits that in the background of the appointment of Anganwari Sevika the present occurrence had taken place and from a bare perusal of the FIR it appears that the informant has not made specific allegation against the petitioner in the FIR. Further submits that the charge has been framed and the trial has began and out of fourteen chargesheet witnesses, two witnesses have already been examined.

5. Learned counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State, on the other hand, have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that from a bare perusal of the FIR it appears that there is direct and specific allegation of firing is attributed against the petitioner upon the deceased husband of the informant and the allegation as alleged in the FIR is supported by the medical evidence and apart from that, the petitioner carries one more case other than the present one but fairly submits that the petitioner is on bail in the said case, as mentioned in para-3 of the bail petition.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with S.Tr.No.624 of 2023 arising out of Pasraha P.S. Case No.72 of 2020 pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-V, Khagaria.

7. Prayer is refused.



8. However, the learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

