

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20646 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-95 Year-2019 Thana- DAGARUA District- Purnia

=====

Jitendra Kumar Nishad @ Jitendra Kumar Nisad S/O- Bachchu Lal Sahni @
Bechu Lal Sahni R/O- Village- Majha Hat (PANDUBI), P.S.- Pakri Dayal,
Dist.- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar, Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pawan Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Renu Kumari

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 01-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Dagarua P.S. Case No. 95 of 2019 dated 15.06.2019 for the offences punishable u/ss 30(a), 41 and 47 of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 21 litres of illicit liquor was recovered from the dickey of the Bolero car, 879.84 litres of country-made foreign liquor and 2400 litres of beer were recovered from the truck.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in



para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is neither the owner nor the driver of the said vehicle. The petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. The co-accused person disclosed the name of the petitioner. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be



enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Purnea in connection with Dagarua P.S. Case No. 95 of 2019, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. This application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

atul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

