

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20358 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-56 Year-2016 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Nalanda

SUBODH KUMAR SON OF LATE UMASHANKAR RAM R/O-
HEVANPURA, P.S.-RAHUI, DISTT.-NALANDA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. KHUSHBU DEVI WIFE OF SUBODH KUMAR D/O-RAJNITI RAM,
R/O-SAMIYAGARH, P.S.-GHOSWARI, DISTT.-PATNA

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ganesh Sharma
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Yogendra Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 04-07-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Nalanda Mahila P.S. Case No. 56 of 2016 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 498A, 402, 406, 323 and 34
of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections 3 and 4 of the
Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. The learned APP for the State, at the outset,
submits that from perusal of the FIR, it would manifest that the
FIR was instituted in the year 2016 and the petitioner
approached the learned District Court seeking anticipatory bail
in the year 2024 i.e. after eight years of the institution of the



instant FIR. The said submission of the learned APP is not rebutted by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner. The learned APP further submits that a person who moves at his leisure should not get protection of the Court.

4. In view of the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, the present anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

