

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21481 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-16 Year-2023 Thana- MIRGANJ District- Purnia

-
1. SUMIT KUMAR @ SATYAM KUMAR SON OF AJAY KUMAR SAH @ AJAY KUMAR AZAD R/O-KAJARA WARD NO. 12, P.S.-MIRGANJ, DISTT.-PURNIA
 2. MANISH KUMAR @ MANISH KUMAR SAH SON OF LATE SHIV SHANKAR SAH R/O-KAJARA WARD NO. 12, P.S.-MIRGANJ, DISTT.-PURNIA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pramod Kumar Mallick, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kalyan Shankar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 04-04-2024 At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners seek permission to withdraw the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner no.2, Manish Kumar @ Manish Kumar as during the pendency of the application, the petitioner no. 2 has been arrested.

2. Permission accorded.

3. Accordingly, the application as against the petitioner no. 2 stands dismissed as withdrawn.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

5. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Mirganj P.S. Case No. 16 of 2023 registered



under Sections 341, 323, 307, 504 and 506/34 and Section 25 (1-b)a 26 and 35 of the Arms Act of the Indian Penal Code lodged on 23.01.2023 by the informant, Pramod Sha.

6. As per the prosecution story, allegation is that the marriage of a girl of the village was being solemnized when these accused persons came on a four wheelers and after abusing the family, allegation is that they went on the top of the vehicle and started firing. Further, allegation is that one Munna Kumar opened fired which hit the leg of Manish Kumar son of Babloo Kumar. Accordingly, the FIR.

7. Though learned counsel for the petitioners tried to impress upon this Court that due to panchayat election, they have been implicated in this case, learned APP for the State submits that in a marriage ceremony they resorted to fire arm causing havoc in the marriage.

8. In that background, it would be appropriate that the petitioners seek bail. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Jagdish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

