

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4345 of 2024

-
-
1. Md Jilani Son of Md. Abul Kalam Resident of Village- Jarkha, P.S.- Sigori, District- Patna.
 2. Brij Kishore Singh Son of Late Jagdish Singh Resident of Village- Jarkha, P.S.- Sigori, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Department of General Administration, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of General Administration, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Collector, Patna.
4. The District Education Officer, Patna.
5. The District Programme Officer, Patna.
6. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Paliganj, Patna.
7. The Block Development Officer, Paliganj, Patna.
8. The Bihar State Information Commission, Patna through its Secretary.
9. The Secretary, Bihar State Information Commission, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Jitender Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Dinesh Maharaj, AC to AAG-11
For the S.I.C.	:	Mrs. Binita Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 20-06-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following reliefs:-

*“1(i) For issuance of writ of
Mandamus or any other appropriate
writ / writs, order / orders direction /
directions commanding the
respondents to provide information
to the petitioners for which they*



made their respective application under the Right to Information Act, 2005, which continuously are not being provided to them by the concerned respondents deliberately for reasons best known to them. In spite of the fact that they are statutorily bound to provide the said information to the petitioners in a time bound manner.

(ii) For issuance of a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ / writs, order / orders, direction / directions commanding the concerned respondents especially respondents no. 8 & 9 to effectively ensure that the information under the Right to Information Act, 2005 be provided to the petitioners against their applications as they are entitled to have such information under the Right to Information Act, 2005 in statutory time period in view of the fact that the respondents no. 8 & 9 mechanically disposed off the Appeal made before them, raises technical objection after long time, not taking punitive actions against the guilty Public Information Officers and even not providing informations against application made under the



Right to Information Act, 2005 to the petitioners.

(iii) For fixing appropriate / adequate liabilities on the guilty Respondents, who deliberately failed to perform their statutory duties under the Right to Information Act, 2005 and are continuously making attempt to scrap the very noble objective of the Right to Information Act, 2005 especially in case of the Petitioners.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks a direction upon the Bihar State Information Commission to expedite the proceedings of the pending second appeals, filed by the petitioners. It is directed accordingly.

3. The writ petition stands disposed off.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

