

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6167 of 2024

=====

Rukshana Begum @ Ruksana Begum W/o Md. Muslim Resident of Vilalge-Belgachchhi Miyanpur Ward No. 06, Gram Panchayat Baigana, P.s. and Block-Terahgachh, District-Kishanganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Social Welfare Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Divisional Commissioner Purnea.
3. The Distirct Magistrate Kishanganj.
4. The Sub Divisional Officer, Kishanganj
5. The Sub Divisional Programme Officer Kishanganj.
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Terahgachh, District-Kishanganj.
7. The Block Development Officer, Terahgachh, District-Kishanganj.
8. The Ladies Supervisor Terahgachh, District-Kishanganj.
9. The Ward Member of Ward no.6 Gram Panchayat Baigana, P.S.-Terahgachh, District-Kishanganj.
10. The Panch Member of Ward no.6 Gram Panchayat Baigana, P.S.-Terahgachh, District-Kishanganj.
11. Nisar Anjum W/o Md. Manazir Alam Resident of Village-Belgachchhi, Miyanpur, Ward No.6 Gram Panchayat Baigana, P.S. and Block-Terahgachh, District-Kishanganj.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Firoz Ahmad, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Government Pleader (7)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 25-04-2024 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief:-

“1. That this writ application is for quashing the order dated 29.08.2023 (contained in Annexure-10) of the Divisional Commissioner, Purnea, passed in Anganbari Revision No. 37/2021 by which the order of the lower court has been set



aside having got not legal and judicial confirming the employment of the appellant by the Aam Sabha of the said centre, the proceeding is ended/ finished and directed to send the copy to the lower court and also for quashing the consequential order dated 22.09.2023 (contained in Annexure-11) of the Child Development Project Officer Terahgachh by which the petitioner has been relieved from the post of Sevika in view of the order of the commissioner.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law including that of filing a suit before the learned Civil Court having competent jurisdiction. Liberty, so sought, is granted.
3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

