

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.1088 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-197 Year-2023 Thana- GURUA District- Gaya

=====

Ranjeet Yadav @ Ranjeet Kumar Son of Baburam Yadav Resident of vill.-
Khairi, P.S.-Gurua, Distt.-Gaya

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Karam Devi Wife of Chandan Paswan Resident of vill.-Khairi, P.S.-Gurua,
Distt.-Gaya

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Vijay Kumar
For the State	:	Mrs. Usha Kumari 1
For the Respondent no.2	:	Mr. Birendra Kumar Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

4 23-08-2024 Heard learned counsel for the appellant, learned

Special Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel for

the respondent no. 2.

2. This is an appeal under Section 14 A (2) of the

Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of

Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter in short referred to as the

SC/ST Act), against the refusal of prayer of bail vide order dated

18.01.2024 passed by learned Special Judge SC/ST, Gaya in

connection with Gurua P.S. Case No. 197 of 2023 registered

under Sections 341, 342, 325(B), 379, 504/34 of the Indian

Penal Code and Sections 3(i)(w), 3(2-v) of the Scheduled Castes

and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act.



3. The appellant, along with other co-accused, is alleged to have engaged in abusive behavior and used criminal force against the informant's sister-in-law, with the intent to outrage her modesty and to attempt to commit rape.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. There is no allegation of slating the informant in the specific name of his caste. Hence, no offence under SC/ST Act is made out against the appellant. He submits that no such occurrence as alleged has been taken place and the entire prosecution case is out and out false and concocted one. Appellant has got no antecedent as mentioned in para-3 of memo of the appeal and has been languishing in custody since 09.01.2024.

5. Learned Spl. PP for the State and learned counsel for the respondent no. 2 opposed that prayer for bail and submits that the appellant is also involved in the present case.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named appellant, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge SC/ST, Gaya in connection



with Gurua P.S. Case No. 197 of 2023.

7. Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and
this appeal is allowed.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

