

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3930 of 2024

Shamima Begam @ Shamina Begam, Wife of Rustam Ansari, Resident of
Village-Ayar, P.S.- Agiaon Bazar, Dist-Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through the Principal Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Panchayat Raj Bihar at Patna.
2. The Principal Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Panchayat Raj Bihar at Patna
3. The District Magistrate cum District Election Officer, Bhojpur at Ara.
4. The Subdivisional Officer, Piro, Bhojpur.
5. The District Panchayat Officer, Bhojpur at Ara.
6. The Block Development Officer cum Executive Officer, 6. Piro Block, Bhojpur at Ara.
7. The Block Panchayat Raj Officer, Piro, Bhojpur.
8. Sunil Kumar Gautam, age not known, Block Development Officer cum Executive Officer, Piro Block Bhojpur at Ara.
9. The State Election Commission Through the Chairman, Mangles Road, Patna.
10. The Chairman State Election Commission, Mangles Road, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. S.K. Mishra, Ms. Manini Jaiswal, Advocates.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar, AC to Government Pleader (3)
For SEC	:	Mr. Ravi Ranjan, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 19-08-2024 Heard Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for

the petitioner duly assisted by Ms. Manini Jaiswal, learned

counsel for the State and Mr. Ravi Ranjan, learned counsel

appearing for State Election Commission.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for
quashing the minutes of the meeting dated 15.02.2024 whereby
the 'Pramukh' of Panchayat Samiti, Piro Block has been



removed and also to maintain status quo keeping the impugned decision dated 15.02.2024 in abeyance.

3. At the very outset, Mr. Ravi Ranjan, learned counsel for State Election Commission submits that after filing the writ petition, a number of development took place and now pursuant to the election, a new incumbent has taken the post.

4. This Court, in the aforesaid backdrop, deems it fit and proper to dispose it of granting liberty to the petitioner to file a petition if so he desires.

5. Accordingly, the same is disposed of as infructuous.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

perwez

U			
---	--	--	--

