

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18196 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-274 Year-2023 Thana- SANDESH District- Bhojpur

-
1. RADHESYAM YADAV Son of Raj Kumar Yadav Resident of Village-Bichhiaon, P.S.-Sandesh, District-Bhojpur
 2. SHIV SHANKAR YADAV Son of Late Moti Yadav Resident of Village-Bichhiaon, P.S.-Sandesh, District-Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhat Kumar Singh, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Harendra Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 18-03-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The Petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Sandesh P.S. Case No. 274 of 2023 dated 13.11.2023 of the offences punishable u/s sections 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act 2022.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 29 litres of illicit country made liquor was recovered from the field.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioners have no criminal case as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The name of the petitioners was



disclosed by the local chaukidar. The recovery has been made from an open place which is accessible to anyone. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioners, hence no case is made out. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioners, let the above named petitioners, in the event of thier arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.



20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Bhojpur at Ara, in connection with Sandesh P.S. Case No. 274 of 2023 subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. This application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

jyoti/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

