

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26180 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-364 Year-2022 Thana- VAISHALI District- Vaishali

RAHUL KUMAR SON OF SHRI SANJAY SINGH @ SANJAY KUMAR  
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - MAJHAULI, P.S. - VAISHALI BELSAR O.P.,  
DISTRICT - VAISHALI

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prakash Chandra Jha, Adv.  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Suresh Prasad Singh,APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2      16-04-2024                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Vaishali (Belsar O.P.) P.S. Case No. 364 of 2022 dated 14.09.2022 for the offences punishable u/ss 30(a), 32(ii)/ 41(i) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 219.24 litres of illicit India made foreign liquor was recovered from the Bolero vehicle.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The name of the petitioner was



disclosed by the apprehended co-accused person. The petitioner is neither the owner nor the driver of the said vehicle and the same was not being driven by the petitioner at the time of the alleged recovery. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on the record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender



within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Hajipur, Vaishali in connection with Vaishali (Belsar O.P.) P.S. Case No. 364 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

**(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)**

Gautam/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

