

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4116 of 2024

=====

Sandhya Kumari Daughter of Nand Kishor Ray, Wife of Sri Raj Kumar Yadav, Resident of Village Paschim Kargahiya Ward No.- 2. P.S.- Bettiah Town, District - West Champaran, At Present Residing at Village- Kodahar, Bhagwanpur Ward No. 02. P.S.- Raxaul, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, I.C.D.S., Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Collector -cum- District Magistrate, East Champaran, Motihari.
4. The District Programme Officer (I.C.D.S.), East Champaran, Motihari.
5. The Child Development Programme Officer, Raxaul, District - East Champaran, Motihari.
6. Gunja Devi, Wife of Mandeep Prasad Yadav, Resident of Village Kodahar, Ward No.- 02, P.S. - Raxaul, District - East Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Madhurendra Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Vivek Prasad, GP-7
		Mr. Sudhir Kumar Upadhyay, AC to GP-7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 22-03-2024 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

“1(A). A writ in nature of Certiorari or any other appropriate writ / writs, order / orders for quashing the order dated 06.05.2023 passed in Anganbadi Appeal Case No. 33 of 2021-22 by the learned Collector-cum-District Magistrate, East Champaran, Motihari, whereby and whereunder the learned Collector dismissed the Appeal filed by the petitioner and confirmed the order dated 18.11.2021 passed by the District Programme



Officer, East Champaran, Motihari, by the learned D.P.O. found the appointment of the Respondent No. 6 illegal but there was no direction with regard to the appointment of the petitioner whose position stand 2nd in the merit list.

(B)(i). To appoint the petitioner on the post of Anganbari Sevika at Anganbari Centre No. 223 situated at Ward No. 02, Village Dhangadhwa, Kaudihar, Raxaul, District and pay the remuneration thereon.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law including that of filing a suit before the learned Civil Court having competent jurisdiction. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands disposed off.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

