

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17433 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-91 Year-2023 Thana- SIKANDRA District- Jamui

Punit Singh S/o Rambriksh Singh R/o vill - Achambho, P.S. - Sikandra, Distt.
- Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar Pandey, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 14-03-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Sikandra P.S. Case No. 91 of 2023, lodged on 30.03.2023 under
Sections 304(B), 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, FIR has been lodged
against five named accused persons including the present
petitioner against whom there is an allegation that all the
accused persons in connivance with each other have killed the
informant's daughter.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel
also submits that from the contents of the FIR, it becomes very
much clear that the petitioner who is the husband of the



deceased is working in a private company at Madras and it is alleged that on his mobile, the petitioner has instigated his other family members to kill the informant's daughter due to which they have killed the informant's daughter. Counsel further submits that the petitioner is not present at the place of occurrence, rather, he has come later on.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that police after completion of the investigation has filed the charge-sheet under section 498(A), 306, 34 of the Indian Penal Code. Counsel further submits that *vide* Annexure-2, the informant has filed a petition in which he has disclosed that his daughter was killed at *sasural* and the petitioner was working at Madras. Counsel also submits that in the application filed before the Trial Court, the informant has disclosed that the death has been caused due to pain in the chest.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the criminal antecedent of the petitioner is clean and he is in custody since 04.10.2023. Counsel further submits that other co-accused persons have been granted bail by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court *vide* order dated 23.01.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 598 of 2024.

7. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for



bail of the petitioner.

8. Upon specific query of the Court from the counsel for petitioner that whether charge has been framed in this case or not, counsel submits that he is not aware that whether charge has been framed or not.

9. In the present facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above, **let the petitioner above named be granted bail, but only after framing of charge, if not framed** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jamui in connection with Sikandra P.S. Case No. 91 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down U/s 437(3) Cr.P.C.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Divyansh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

