

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4517 of 2022

=====

Safik Ansari, Son of Rahman Ansari, Resident of Village - Khapra, Chandi,
Police Station - Akorigola, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Member Board of Revenue, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Collector, Rohtas at Sasaram.
3. The D.C.L.R. Dehri-On-Sone, District - Rohtas.
4. Harun Ansari, Son of Haji Lal Md. Ansari, Resident of Village - Khapra, Chandi, Police Station - Akorigola, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.
5. Jibrail Ansari, Son of Harun Ansari, Resident of Village - Khapra, Chandi, Police Station - Akorigola, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.
6. Ismile Ansari, Son of Harun Ansari, Resident of Village - Khapra, Chandi, Police Station - Akorigola, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.
7. Ijaj Ansari, Son of Harun Ansari, Resident of Village - Khapra, Chandi, Police Station - Akorigola, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.
8. Wakil Ansari, Son of Harun Ansari, Resident of Village - Khapra, Chandi, Police Station - Akorigola, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.
9. Istakhar Ansari, Son of Harun Ansari, Resident of Village - Khapra, Chandi, Police Station - Akorigola, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.
10. Hamid Ansari, Son of Umat Ansari, Resident of Village - Khapra, Chandi, Police Station - Akorigola, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Om Prakash Upadhyay, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Md. Khurshid Alam (Aag 12)
		Mrs. Nutan Sahay, AC to AAG-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 02-01-2024 This writ petition has been filed seeking direction to

the Respondent no. 3 to execute a registered sale-deed in favour

of petitioner with respect to the land in question.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the State submits

that the present writ petition has become infructuous, in terms of



judgment of the Hon’ble Division of this Court dated 13.10.2023, passed in C.W.J.C.No. 15060 of 2019 and other analogous writ petitions.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not controvert the aforesaid submission.

4. The writ petition is dismissed as being infructuous in view of the aforesaid judgment of this Court.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

