

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5221 of 2020

-
-
1. Prem Kumar Son of Hardeo Singh Resident of Village-Surattha, P.O. Bibipur Anirudh Belsar, P.S. Vaishali, District-Vaishali.
 2. Om Prakash Son of Late Bhagirath Yadav Resident of Village-Goughatta, P.O. Mohanpur Goughatta, P.S. Budhuchak, District-Bhagalpur.
 3. Mina Kumari Wife of Ajay Kumar Resident of Village-Maheshwara, P.S. Barhad, P.S. Aurai, District-Muzaffarpur.
 4. Krishanadeo Kumar Son of Late Somar Prasad Resident of Village-Sirodawar, P.S. rajauli, District-Nawada.
 5. Kunti Devi Wife of Suresh Prasad @ Suresh Kumar Tarun, Resident of Village-Karma Kala, Bhagwanpur, P.O. and P.S. Rajauli, District-Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief SDeputy Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Mass Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Education Officer, Vaishali
5. The District Mass Education Officer, Vaishali
6. The District Programme Officer (Literacy), Vaishali.
7. The District Education Officer, Muzaffarpur.
8. The District Mass Education Officer, Muzaffarpur
9. The District Programme Officer (Literacy), Muzaffarpur.
10. The District Education Officer, Bhagalpur
11. The District Mass Education Officer, Bhagalpur
12. The District Programme Officer (Literacy), Bhagalpur.
13. The District Education Officer, Nawada.
14. The District Mass Education Officer, Nawada.
15. The District Programme Officer (Literacy), Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Lal Mani Sharma, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Pramod Kumar Singh, (AC to SC-16)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 25-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

2. It has been jointly submitted by counsels that the present case has not been covered in the light of SLP (Civil) No. 32079 of 2015 as Hon'ble Supreme Court has pleased to direct that the order shall only restrict the benefits of such employees who has approached the Hon'ble High Court before the cut off date *i.e.*, 26.02.2016.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners fairly submits that admittedly the petitioners have not moved before this Court prior to 26.02.2016, but he submits that another set of petitioners have again filed another C.W.J.C. before this Hon'ble Court and matter went up before Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Diary No. 40262 of 2023.

4. As such, this Court is of the view that it shall not be proper to keep pending this writ petition. Therefore, this writ application is hereby disposed of granting liberty to the petitioners that if, any relief shall be granted to petitioners of those cases, then in that case, petitioners shall be at liberty to file fresh representation.

5. With the aforesaid direction, the present writ petition is hereby disposed of.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

sadique/-

U			
---	--	--	--