

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17446 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-35 Year-2014 Thana- KARJA District- Muzaffarpur

Rohit Sahni @Rohit @ Bablu Sahni, Male, aged about 40 years, SON OF
ALOK JEE @ MUSHAFIR SAHNI @ ANAND JEE Village Thathan
Kajipur, P.S. -Sadar Hajipur, District -Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vasant Vikas, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyam Bihari Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA**

ORAL ORDER

2 21-03-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody registered for the
offence under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 427, 435, 385
and 387 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 17 of the CLA
Act.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is being member of
unlawful banned organization involved in demanding ransom.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted
that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and the
name of the petitioner came on the basis of confessional
statement by co-accused. He next submits that similarly situated
co-accused has already been granted bail by a Co-ordinate
Bench of this Court passed in Cr. Misc. No. 66483 of 2018.
Petitioner is in custody since 01.03.2023.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State, opposes the



prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Under the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be directed to be released on bail in connection with Karja P.S. Case No.35 of 2014 on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned CJM, Muzaffarpur with following conditions:-

(I) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of court concerned.

(ii) Petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the trial court.

(iii) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

Brajesh Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

