

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4457 of 2022

-
1. Ram Akbal Singh Son of Late Triveni Singh Resident of Village and P.O.- Asna P.S. Kandawa, District- Chandauli (U.P.).
 2. Devashish Singh @ Deo Ashish Singh Son of Late Mukhram Singh, Resident of Village- Ramgarh, Post Office and P.S.- Ramgarh, District- Kaimur (Bhabua).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Education Department (Higher Education Department) Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Higher Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Vice Chancellor, Veer Kunwar Singh University, Ara.
4. The Registrar, Veer Kunwar Singh University, Ara.
5. The Finance Officer, Veer Kunwar Singh University, Ara.
6. The Principal, Gram Bharati College, Ramgarh, District- Kaimur at Bhabua.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Ashok Kumar Garg
For the State	:	Ms.Abhanjalli
For the University	:	Mr.Nutan Sahay

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 06-05-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the respondents.

2. The present writ application has been filed for the following relief/s:

(i) For directing the respondent authorities to pay the arrear of salary to the petitioner no.1 for the period of September, 1987 to February, 1997 of Rs.2,05,314 and for the period of April, 1997 to February, 2018 of Rs.8,40,947/- (total Rs.10,46,261/-) and petitioner no.2 for the period



of September, 1987 to February, 1997 of Rs.2,05,314 and for the period of April, 1997 to February, 2018 of Rs.8,40,947/- (total Rs.10,46,261) including the interest at the rate of 18% per annum on the amount of arrear.

(ii) For directing the respondent authorities to pay arrear of P.F. of Rs.2,02,130/- to the petitioners for the period of September, 1987 to February, 1997 and for April, 1997 to February, 2018.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have filed a detailed representation before the Registrar of the University (respondent no.4) but till date, the representation has not been disposed of.

4. Considering this fact, the petitioners are directed to file a fresh representation before the respondent no.4 enclosing the documents in support of their grievance, within a period of two weeks and the respondent no.4 is well advised to consider the said representation and pass a reasoned and speaking order, in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners or their counsels, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representation of the petitioners.

5. Let it be made clear that if the order is not complied



with, the respondent no.4 shall be held responsible for non-compliance of the order of this Court.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, this writ application is disposed of.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

pallavi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

