

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
FIRST APPEAL No.661 of 1994

AJIT KUMAR ROY and ORS Appellant/s
Versus
RAM SUNDARI DEVI and ANR Respondent/s

Appearance :
For the Appellant/s : Mr.Satya Nand Shukla
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Gajendra Kumar Jha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

34 15-03-2024 Heard the learned counsel for the appellants as well as
the learned counsel for the respondents.

2. They jointly submitted that the matter has been
compromised between the parties and a compromise petition by
way of I.A. No. 7 of 2023 has been filed.

3. From perusal of I.A. No. 7 of 2023, it appears that
both the parties have compromised their partition suit. The
compromise decree is duly signed by the appellants as well as the
respondent nos. 1, 2 and 3.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent no. 5 is also
present and he has submitted that respondent no. 5 is vendee of
respondent no. 1. He also submits that he accepts the compromise.

5. Considering the above-mentioned facts and
circumstances, this appeal is disposed of in the light of
compromise petition. The compromise petition shall make part of
this order.

6. Accordingly, this appeal is disposed of.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

Nirmal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

