

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3918 of 2024

=====

Ram Duleshwar Singh, Son of Baban Singh, Resident of Village- Deuar, Pandura Rampur, P.S.- Sandesh, District - Bhojpur, At present Vsant Vihar Colony, near Kali Mandir, 90 Feet Road, Ashiyan-Digha Road, P.S.- Rajiv Nagar, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar State Power Holding Company Limited Through its Chief Managing Director, Vidyut Bhawan, Baily Road, Patna.
2. The Chief Managing Director, Bihar Power Distribution Company Ltd., Vidyut Bhawan, Baily Road, Patna.
3. The General Manager-cum Chief Engineer, Electric Supply, South Bihar Power Distribution Company Ltd., Baily Road, Patna.
4. The Executive Engineer(South Bihar Power Distribution Company Ltd.) Electric Supply Division, Danapur, Patna.
5. The Assistant Electric Engineer (South Bihar Power Distribution Company Ltd.) Electric Supply Division, Digha, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar Singh, Adv
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Vinay Kirti Singh, Sr. Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY
ORAL ORDER

2 08-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The present Writ Petition has been filled for the following reliefs:-

“ i) Whether the petitioner is entitled for taking new electricity connection in his house in question?

ii) Whether the action of respondents in not installing New Meter and fresh connection is arbitrary, illegal and malafide?

iii) Whether the respondents have violated the constitution right of the petitioner to get electricity of the



petitioner?

iv) Whether the action of Respondents is justified in snatching the right of the petitioner in a democratic welfare state to live happily in electric supply.

v) Whether the Respondents can debar the petitioner from taking electricity connection without any specific reason.

vi) Whether the action of Respondents is abuse and misuse of power resulting in miscarriage of justice.

vii) Whether the Respondents have considered the hardship of the petitioner specially education of children.”

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents has stated that the writ petition may be disposed off with a direction to the Respondent No. 5 to accept the application made by the petitioner along with the requisite amounts and consider the same in accordance with law.

4. Having regard to the above made submissions, the present writ petition is disposed off with a direction to the Respondent No. 5 to accept the application of the petitioner for an electrical connection along with the requisite amount and consider the same strictly in accordance with law. The Respondent No 5 is directed to consider the said application within a period of four weeks from the date of the copy of the



receipt of this order.

5. With the above directions, the present writ
petitioner stands disposed off to the extent indicated above.

(A. Abhishek Reddy , J)

Bhardwaj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

