

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16385 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-121 Year-2023 Thana- CHAORI District- Bhojpur

=====

Anil Rai, S/o Ravi Bhushan Rai, Resident of Village- Perhop P.S. Sahar,
District- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Rajendra Nath Sinha
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Zainul Abedin
	Mr. Diwakar
	Mr. Deepak Kumar
	Mr. Shivam Kumar
	Mr. Sudhakar Ranjan
	Mr. Rahul Sharma
	Mr. Prashant Sharma

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 4 01-07-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 341, 323, 307, 354(B), 379, 504 and 506/ 34 of the
Indian Penal Code.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by
the informant. It is further submitted that petitioner is a person
with clean antecedent. It is next submitted that from perusal of
the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R., it would manifest that the



F.I.R. does not even remotely disclose the reason for the occurrence that as to why the petitioner came to the house of the informant and started assaulting. It is next submitted that petitioner and Chandan (brother in-law of the informant) were working at Hyderabad and they were known to each other from before and petitioner had given some money as loan to Chandan Pandey and the same was not being returned.

4. It is also submitted that petitioner and Chandan were from the same village, as such, Chandan had called the petitioner to his house and where on account of dispute relating to money, an altercation took place and petitioner was assaulted. It is next submitted that even petitioner assaulted the side of the informant. It is further submitted that this perhaps explains why the informant in the F.I.R. does not even remotely suggest the reason for the occurrence. It is next submitted that injury suffered by the injured is simple in nature which amply demonstrates that petitioner never had any intention of committing a serious occurrence.

5. Learned counsel for the informant along with learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application, but then, the learned counsel for the informant does not dispute the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner that injury suffered by the injured is simple in nature.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M., Ara, Bhojpur in connection with Chauri P. S. Case No.121 of 2023, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

